

Amos J. Carter
Vice.

Oxford Democrat.

VOLUME 5.

PARIS, MAINE, TUESDAY, JANUARY 16, 1838.

NUMBER 22.

OXFORD DEMOCRAT,
IS PRINTED AND PUBLISHED EVERY TUESDAY BY
G. W. MILLETT.
TERMS—One dollar and fifty cents in advance.
One dollar and seventy-five cents at the end of the year.
No paper discontinued till all dues are paid, but at the option of the Publisher.
ADVERTISEMENTS inserted on the usual terms, the proprietor not being accountable for any error in any advertisement beyond the amount charged for it.
COMMUNICATIONS, and LETTERS on business must be addressed to the publisher, Post-paid.

The old Tin Kitchen and Cracked Dish.

There are seasons of economy when the wisest sometimes step over the saddle in mounting. The parsimonious old bachelor may know less of these freaks of minor traffic, but the young married couple rarely pass the honeymoon without some evidence that there is more show than utility in some of their economical purchases. It would be well if all would preserve the first worthless article they purchase after entering the married state, as a restraining memento through life.

Years have rolled away since Simon Old-school's marriage. He and his beloved Jemima had put their little earnings, together and the table presented when fitted out for the breakfast or dinner, a very decent set of crockery, but scarcely any thing superfluous. One day, however, the goose was a little too large for the dish—and Jemima thought she would remedy the evil in future, if she could economically.

Now in studying matters of economy, it is well known how much more satisfaction it is to show our best friend what we have done, than to disclose before hand schemes which circumstances may prevent maturing. Such were the feelings of Jemima when she dressed for shopping; and the anticipated gratification of displaying her good bargain, kept her silent on the object of her visit to the crockery store. Mr. Pipeclay was very assiduous in displaying his wares to Jemima, as she stood by the counter. "What is the price of this large blue dish, sir?"

"Only nine shillings, marm, and a fine article it is. Shall I send it to your house?"

"O, I believe not; I like the dish pretty well, but I can't afford to give so much for a dish."

"Well, marm, here is one of the same pattern which we will sell for less. It is just as good as the other in every respect, except this crack which you see does not extend across within an inch; only half it will in milk and it will last an age. We don't like to sell our ware below cost but as it is a little damaged, and we hope to have more custom from you, we will put it at the low price of four and six."

Jemima was one of those rare ladies who never ask, abatement, and hardly knowing whether pity for the trader's sacrifice or joy at her good bargain most predominated in her breast, she told Mr. Pipeclay to send it home at two.

Dinner was prepared that day as usual, and Jemima might have been seen, as she was seated, measuring with her eye the large oval space the dish was destined to occupy before her; and a suppressed smile which occasionally played at the corner of her mouth, showed that something would shine by and by.

Scarcely had Simon dropped a moment in the rocking chair and set his tooth-pick in motion, before a rap was made at the door, and Jemima presented with her choice ware. The suppressed smile now burst forth, as she exhibited her economical purchase. "Here Simon, isn't this a splendid article for four and six?—this will hold the goose, deary?"

"The whole—goose,—dear—eh?" repeated Simon slowly as he turned over the dish to inspect it. "Why Jemima, it is almost cracked in two!"

"O, no matter for that, Mr. Pipeclay says it can be boiled in milk, and made as sound as it ever was."

As Thanksgiving day was approaching and some of the Old-school family were expected at dinner on that day, immediate preparations were made for repairing the dish. The trouble now was to prepare a suitable thing to boil in it. The dinner pot was too small at the mouth, and nothing could be found large enough but the old wash boiler; in this it set admirably.

"Well, Jemima, how much water does it take to fill the boiler as high as this?" pointing to the extreme point of the crack.

"Why, about a bucket and a half."

Jemima bit her lip when the memento of her rare speculation met her eye.

Simon, in all his wisdom and economy, however, was not inflexible. The jaded mode of roasting geese, which had been practised in the Old-school family from the days of the Pilgrims, was not suspended them by a wire before the fire—not unfrequently leaving it a matter of some doubt whether cook or the goose had the greatest scorching. Simon, in the plenitude of his affection was determined to save his beloved from such a fate, whenever he could make a suitable provision, economically.

One day passing where a red flag had drawn a concourse of people together, he found among a lot of second-hand furniture the very article he wanted. After waiting two hours, the auctioneer approached the spot where Simon had patiently stationed himself, for the purpose of securing the prize. The bids began at five cents, and after close bidding for a few minutes, it was knocked off, and the clerk directed to put down 'one second-hand tin-kitchen, little used, to Simon Old-school—thirtyseven and a half cents—delivered.'

"This is the screen for Jemima," said Simon, as he took it in his hand 'and a cheap one too!'

"Why Simon," exclaimed his beloved as he entered the door, 'what black, greasy thing have you got here—it is!'

"It is an economical purchase, deary—only think, but two and three pence, spit and all. Jemima now began to scrape the lumps of dough and putty from the bottom of the tin-kitchen, and exhibited to Simon a tolerable cullender. He contemplated his friends a few moments, and then said in as good humored tone as he could assume—"Well, my dear, I guess we shall have to boil it with your cracked dish!"

The article was not comely enough to adorn the closet, but Jemima was careful to place it where it would meet her husband's eyes as often as the cracked dish should meet hers, hoping that the memento would not be less useful to him than the dish had been to her.

Now there are few newly married people who have not bought their cracked dishes and old tin-kitchens: it is not in these simple circumstances that the merit of the tale consists—but it is in the use to which such speculation can be applied. It is to the purchase of the dish and tin-kitchen that much of the prosperity of the Old-school family is owing.

After their first speculations, they learnt the important lesson, not to purchase any article of furniture, without consulting each other. Sam Slop advertises goods below cost. Jemima remembers the cracked dish, and is as careful to go where men are willing to own, that they sell on a living profit.

Simon, on his way to his place, of business, passes an auction mart—he looks straight ahead, and hurries his step as he passes and thinks of his tin-kitchen speculation; and when he is really in want of an article he goes to the regular dealer, buys a good article, pays a fair price, and has the noble consciousness that he is helping the trade.

When Jemima talked of buying a pretty paper navorino for several dollars, Simon only pointed to the closet shelf, and her mind was made up at once.

At the time when the fever of land speculation was raging high, Simon was almost induced to submit to the solicitation of some of his neighbors to take a share in a township: the bargain he would not venture to make, however, without Jemima's knowledge and consent.

"You know best, Simon," was her reply, "but don't forget the old tin-kitchen." This was enough, and the speculating company was made up without him.

Thus scarcely a day passes without some important or trivial matter being decided, by advertising to one of those valuable regulators.

It would do one good to go over their house and see how these standing monitors have preserved their rooms from the accumulation of useless furniture of all kinds—and the business of Simon from the inroads of all visionary speculations.

Year after year has passed away—and many has been the joyful Thanksgiving dinner the writer has taken in the Old-school family—dozens of dishes have been broken and passed to oblivion, cooking apparatus has been burnt out and replenished time and again—yet as often as the day has returned, the newly scoured old tin-kitchen has held its wonted conspicuous location—yet unboiled, unused and unbroken.

To every newly married couple we would say—preserve, as the most valuable and important mementos, in whatever shape they may have fallen to your lot, the first 'cracked dish' and old tin-kitchen.—(Portland Journal.)

From the Skowhegan Sentinel.

AN INCIDENT.

Mr. A. who frequented the city of B. with his horse team which he loaded with flour &c. on his return happened to arrive two days after the banks had denied specie payment. He enquired the price of flour of Mr. C., a dealer in that article, and also a large stock holder in that article, and the E. Bank in that city. The answer was that flour was worth \$11.00.

flour was worth but \$9.00 per barrel; what is the cause of this sudden rise in flour? I must leave my flour," said he, "for \$9.00 as I have the specie to pay. I do not deal in shipplaster."

"Very well," said merchant B., "if I can have the specie for flour, you may have it for \$9.00; but say nothing about it." "Very well," said Mr. A., "I have now found out the cause of the sudden rise in flour. I made the proposition to pay you in specie, merely to ascertain certain facts of which I was jealous. I have no specie, but will pay you in your own bank bills, and take the flour at \$9.00." "No," said the Stockholder, "you cannot have the flour in that way, short of \$11.00." At which Mr. A. turned upon his heel, and declared he would commence a suit against the bank, on every bill he had of theirs, and started for a Lawyer's office, that purpose. The Stockholder followed him, and told him he had concluded to let him have the flour for \$9.00, rather than to have a fuss. Mr. A. saved twenty dollars in his ten blubs. of flour, that he purchased, and discovered the double villainy practised upon the community by these speculators, to wit: they sold their flour and other goods at about 18 per cent advance to be paid in their own paper, & 12 1-2 per cent premium on the specie which they withheld from the public and loaned to individuals for exportation.—Will the people submit to these things.—CANAAN.

SCHOOL MASTERS.

An action was tried at the Oct. Term of the Supreme Judicial Court for Penobscot County which is of much importance to instructors of schools and School Committees.

The facts in the case were briefly these. L. G. brought an action against the town Hamden for teaching school in district No. 15, for 2 1-2 months, agreeable to a contract with the School Agent of that district. He procured all the certificates required by law to qualify him for teaching school. After he had kept about ten days, the superintending Committee visited his school, and discharged him; giving him as a reason for so doing, that in their opinion he was incapable and unfit. But notwithstanding this dismissal, he continued to keep for the whole time of his engagement with the school agent.

Judge Shepley instructed the Jury that the law gives the superintending Committee absolute power over a school master, and makes them the sole judges of his capacity and qualifications to conduct a school. And notwithstanding he has a certificate from this same committee, yet if after his school commences, they find it is in bad order, and not likely to be beneficial to the district, they have a right to visit it, dismiss the master and discontinue the school and the process by which they come to the conclusion that the master is unfit, is not a matter of inquiry. If the master has previously kept school, and given good satisfaction, it cannot be brought to bear upon the case.

But a master cannot be dismissed for any other reasons than those set down in the law, and the committee, must be cautious to use the precise language of the statute in dismissing a master. The Jury gave him pay for ten days only.

We give the following as a proper course for a committee to pursue in dismissing a master. If complaints are made to them by members of the district, they are bound to visit the school and if no complaint be made they should visit it. And if they find it disorderly—the master not possessed of capacity or ability to render that benefit to the scholars which they have a right to expect—being satisfied of these facts, they should address him thus.—Sir, On examination of yourself and the school under your charge, we have come to the conclusion that you are incapable and unfit to keep this school, and for these reasons, we dismiss you from any further charge of it. They should then dismiss the school, giving the same reason to the scholars—viz. that the school is dismissed, because the master is incapable and unfit to keep it.

The school Committee are competent witnesses in such case.

The facts that came out in this case were, that

A school Agent in the same town has no right to employ a master who has been dismissed by the superintending Committee.

A school master be examined and have a certificate from the superintending Committee of a town every time he is hired to keep a school in that town.

Although the school Committee, may, before visiting the school officially, say that the master is qualified and competent, it can have no bearing in the case. They do not act officially until they go to examine the school.

A majority of the school Committee have a right to act without giving notice to the minority in the same way that Assessors and other town officers have.

The law giving the Committee this power is as follows:

"It shall be the duty of such Committee to visit and inspect the school in their respective towns, and inquire into the regulation and discipline thereof, and the proficiency of the scholars therein, and use their influence and best endeavors that the youth in the several districts regularly attend the school; and the said com-

mittee shall have power to dismiss any school master or mistress who shall be found incapable or unfit to teach any school, notwithstanding their having procured the requisite certificates, but the town or plantation shall be bound to pay such instructor for the time they have been employed."

ENCOURAGEMENT FOR POOR BOYS.

The following remarks, the truth of which no close observer of mankind will for a moment doubt; offer great encouragement for the boys of poor parents to persevere in well doing; they are from the pen of Rev. J. S. C. Abbott, in an article on the "Accumulation of Property."—*Mechanic & Farmer.*

"The great men of our country, those who have sent their names and their influence through the Union, have almost universally come from what would generally be called, the humble walks of life.

Any one who will inquire into the early history of the principal men of our country, or who will look into the biographies of the principal men in the world, will be struck with the fact, that almost all the talent and the enterprise have come from the cottage and not from the mansions of the wealthy. It is very seldom that the sons of distinguished men become distinguished themselves. The father attains celebrity, and wealth; the sons, enervated by his wealth, decay. True, there are exceptions to this rule, highly honorable exceptions; but the general process is undeniable.

If we can place any reliance upon that experience which our observation gives us, we cannot doubt, that in this country a wealthy family has, on the whole, a far more unfavorable prospect for happiness, than one in the enjoyment of a moderate competency.

I once heard a gentleman of great influence say, "I cannot be sufficiently grateful that I had not a rich father." And the remark was one of sound philosophy. The probability altogether is, that if this gentleman's father had been rich, he never would have been stimulated to those exertions, which so abundantly contributed to his reputation."

A NEW COACH.

There is now in the course of building, at a coachmaker's in the metropolis, a coach of a description, at once novel, elegant and safe. It is the invention of a scientific gentleman, named Stafford, who has secured a patent for the same. Before we give a description of the vehicle it may be proper to state that, on the suggestion of the superintendant of the royal mails, a similar coach, intended for the country was built, in order that its mechanical construction might be fully and practically tried and tested. Accordingly a public trial of one built at Nottingham was made, in the presence of several hundreds of the most respectable inhabitants of that town. Frisby, an experienced and well known whip, took the reins; the coach was drawn by four spirited horses, and had, inside and out, the full compliment of passengers. In descending the hill at New Radford it was several times intentionally, when running at the top of speed, suddenly swerved off the road, the near wheel working upon a bank three feet high, and the wheel in the drain. In each emergency the coach retained its vertical position like a mariner's compass, so much so that the gentlemen who composed the inside passengers were altogether insensible to their (to others) apparently perilous situation. The body of the new coach, instead of resting, as is usually the case, upon springs below, is put upon two upright supporters rising from the beds and axles, and passing up between the body and the boots. The tops of these supporters are surmounted by elliptic springs, on which the body is so suspended that on meeting inequalities in the road the centre of gravity of the vehicle is freely adjusted, and the liability to overturn is completely conquered.—[Eng. paper.

From the Boston Post.

The Weather.—The weather during the last month has been somewhat remarkable. With the exception of a few severe days, it has been unusually mild and pleasant. The same remark too, would apply to the preceding month. It is said by old people that when the first snow, of any considerable depth, melts off, the succeeding winter is generally mild and open. The venerable Dr. Holyoke, of Salem, who for a great number of years kept a journal of meteorological observations, sanctioned this remark. He observed that the evaporation of the first snow was a seldom failing sign of a pleasant winter. We shall now have an opportunity of testing the correctness of this meteorological sign, by our own observations. The first snow which happened in this vicinity, occurred very early in the season, and was succeeded by mild weather, which melted it off entirely in a very few days. The second and third snows, each of them of sufficient depth for sleighing, have disappeared in the same manner. Since the disappearance of the last snow, several days have been as warm as the average of weather in October. No such weather has been known, in the winter season, in these parts, of such long continuance, since the year 1818. In February of that year the weather was so warm that some young lads went into the sea to bathe; and the trees

swelled their buds to such a degree as to endanger the harvest. The bulbous plants put forth their sprouts more than an inch above the surface of the soil, and all vegetation seemed to be preparing to hail the spring. We have had no such weather for ten winters past, as our own wood and coal bills will testify. We had begun to believe that the earth was fast cooling down, as geologists predict will happen at some indefinite period of the future, and that we were all going to be frozen to death before we had witnessed the fulfilment of the glorious results of General Jackson's Administration. But we do not believe any such consequences will happen to the earth in our day and generation, any more than we believe that whiggism will obtain any permanent triumph over democracy. We are determined to hope for the best, both in the natural and political world, and that every amelioration of the moral condition of society will be attended with an amelioration of our variable and unfixed climate. Such are our hopes and reasonable prospects.

The Maine Bank in this City has resumed specie payment. The example ought to be followed by others. The same obligation rests on these institutions as on individuals, and it is a gross abuse of the whole community to allow any set of institutions to lift themselves above the laws, repeal all their restrictions, act their own good pleasure whether or not they shall fulfil their chartered obligations at the same time that an individual is subjected to the strict severity of the law, and under a government which professes to extend an equal protection to all! No deeper violation of equal rights can be conceived of, and the for-bearing of the people can only be required by their ready return to specie payments. Some of the Banks, we perceive, are preparing to ask a reduction of their capital at the present session of the Legislature. This we fully anticipated two years ago; when in the midst of a speculating phrensy the bank capital of the State was nearly doubled. After trying the high-pressure system, we are glad to see some symptoms of a return to more sound and sober views upon this subject. Some must purchase wisdom from experience, and we hope, the experiment has not been tried in vain. Whether the federal party who have been clamoring for an increase of banks for the last two years, will want the money of those who have remained to be seen. "Enterprise and credit," are in their judgment, so wrapped up in the existing system of banking, that it may be doubted whether they would be willing to see the most insignificant branch lopped off. Perhaps they intend to give them the privilege of issuing small bills again in offset to the grievance of too large a capital!

Portland Standard.

Extract from a letter written by the Editor of the Boston Post dated Washington Dec. 21.

I was at the President's this morning when a venerable soldier of the Revolution called to pay his respects to the National Chief Magistrate—he expressed great pleasure at beholding Mr. Van Buren in the office he had seen occupied by so many illustrious men, and told him he was the eighth President of the United States he had visited personally—having called upon all from Washington's time to the present day. The old patriot repeated—"Yes, sir, you are the eighth President of these United States whom I have paid my respects to personally, but my advanced age admonishes me that you will be the last." This sentence was concluded in rather a melancholy tone, which threw a shade of sadness upon every countenance present—the President, however, immediately rose, and grasping the hero of '76, firmly by the hand, said, in a manner that evinced much feeling—"I hope not, sir, I hope not—your appearance is hale and hearty, and I trust that you, and your venerated associates, who fought with the First President of the country for her liberty, and yet survive, will be spared to see many more—why," continued the President, in a livelier manner,—"a gentleman older than you, sir, (Mr. Willard, of Boston, aged 85) has lately visited Washington with a clock made for one of the public buildings here by himself—he not only made the clock, but he brought it on and put it up." This evidently pleased the octogenarian, and, with an expression of gratification at the President's familiar and cordial manner, after a little more conversation, took his leave. People who witness this scene to have been convinced of their erroneous estimate of his private character and feelings. It is a strong argument in support of the pure republican principles and conduct of the President, that he has enjoyed the confidence and respect of such men as Jefferson, Jackson, Randolph, and their contemporaries. Jefferson's letters to Mr. V. B., evince the high estimation in which that great man held him, while General Jackson's strong partiality for him, and confidence in his patriotism and wisdom, have been the greatest objections urged against him by those who can never forgive the Tennessee farmer for defeating their English friends at New Orleans. John Randolph, of Roanoke, was warmly attached to Mr. Van Buren, and the President may be

seen, every pleasant day, mounted on one of the finest horses from the eloquent Virginian's stud, taking that exercise so essential to sustain him in the discharge of the fatiguing duties of his station, which was presented to him by the descendant of Pocahontas.

From the Maine Democrat.
EXTRACT OF A LETTER
From a gentleman in Washington to his friend in this town, dated December 27.

Little is doing, or will be done in either House, until the holy days are passed, when from present indications, business will flow on speedily and uninterruptedly, and the wants of the nation be carefully and diligently sought after and provided for. Mr. Wright's bill in relation to the banks in this district will compel them to redeem their notes in specie, or stop entirely. I hear much about the resumption of specie payments by the banks, but one appears to be waiting for the other, and thus they manage, by the assistance and support of each other, to keep the people out of their just dues for as long a time as they choose. But the evil day (fatal to so many of them I fear) which they are striving to put far from them, must come at last, and the account they will have to settle with an indignant and injured community, will be an awful account—but the worst of it is they have got to render this account, and at the same time they know that the judgment has already passed, and they have received their condemnation. Business is already reverting to its proper channels, and begins to revive, and as matter of course, the feds begin to droop and hang their heads. The storm they have raised has spent its force, and although the consequences have in some instances been disastrous, and everywhere injurious, yet the sun is again peeping through the clouds by which he has so long been hid, and is enlivening the earth with his cheering beams. American enterprise and diligence will overcome this as it has every other obstacle thrown across her path by time-serving and designing politicians, to retard her upward and onward progress, and the bright refulgence of her beams will dazzle the weak vision, and overwhelm with shame and disgrace, all who have been laboring to accomplish her downfall.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

A bill passed the Senate, after a long discussion prohibiting the circulation of small bills in the District. It is one of the vilest and most contemptible of all the measures of Benton—Van Buren, Loco-focoism. All notes of a denomination under five dollars, are forbidden circulation under the severest and most odious penalties. The offense of passing any bill under five dollars is made indictable—and punishable by a fine of fifty dollars; and the Government holds out its encouragement to informers and spies, by putting into the pocket of any levelling scoundrel who shall, by his testimony, bring the offender to judgment—one half the amount of the fine.

The correspondent of the N. Y. Express, writing on the subject, says:—

"Mr. Clay, to-day, in a manner much more excited than he is wont to be upon any political question, gave his opinion very freely of the measure and men of the Administration. He told the committee of Finance, in just so many words, with his eye upon Mr. Wright, the chairman, that 'they ought to be ashamed of themselves for introducing such a bill,'—that it was a wretched and miserable piece of legislation, and got up to oppress the slaves—the free negroes, the beggars, the poor women and children of the District of Columbia. Mr. Preston responded in similar terms, and nearly all the Senators spoke either upon the one side or the other. The bill was finally passed, after a session of more than four hours."

The above beautiful and polished effusion is from the Bangor Whig. The way Mr. Clay flattered it when he came to vote on this same "vilest and most contemptible" measure—this bill which the Committee ought to be "ashamed of themselves for introducing" is a "caution" to those who think, or wish to make others think, that the opposition of Clay and Preston to this policy of the administration is any thing but factious.

On the engrossment of the bill in the Senate, the vote stood—yeas 30, nays 01 Messrs. Clay, Preston and others who had assailed it, dodged the question. If they believed it to be, as they described it, a "BILL OF ABOMINATIONS," were they not recreant to their oaths and the trust reposed in them, in failing to record their votes against it?

But on the passage of the bill the nature of their opposition was unmasked. They perceived that they could not again avoid recording their votes. They were brought to the dilemma either of putting on record their sanction of the shin-plaster and small bill currency, or of voting all their objections and protestations of the day before to have been attempts to beat down a policy against which they were "ashamed" to record their votes. They took the latter horn of the dilemma (a), and crucified their eloquent speeches, in preference to impaling themselves on the Journal of the Senate. Yes, Messrs. Clay and Preston voted in

(a) The vote on the final passage of the bill was as follows:—
Yeas—Messrs. Allen, Benton, Brown Buchanan, Clay of Alabama, Clay of Kentucky, Cuthbert, Fulton, Grundy, Hubbard, King of Alabama, Knight, Linn, Lyon, Lumpkin, McKean, Morris, Nicholas, Niles, Norvell, Pierce, Prentiss, Preston, Rives, Robinson, Sevier, Smith of Connecticut, Smith of Indiana, Spencer, Strange, Tipton, Walker, Wall, White, Williams, Wright and Young—37.
Nay—Mr. Twiss.

favor of this very bill which they said "the committee ought to be ashamed of themselves for reporting."

The Globe of the 26th describes the scene as follows: "The bill was put upon its passage to-day; and those who had spoken so furiously against it day after day; who had denounced it as a measure of which the authors ought to be 'ashamed;' who had execrated it as a bill of pains and penalties against 'minors, little boys and girls, children, the very beggars whom we meet in the Avenue,' who denounced the cruelty which would make these 'unfortunate' the subject of such punishment; who invoked the Senate not 'to attack negroes and little children,' but 'to strike rather at higher game,' those who run off from this bill of abominations on its third reading on Saturday, after all the amendments were made, came in to-day and recorded their names for this shocking outrage upon all humanity. A general expression of derision pervaded the Senate when the thundering orators—Messrs. CLAY and PRESTON—were drawn up to-day, and were compelled either to vote that all they had said before was sheer hypocrisy and cant, or to make it clear by the journal that they were in favor of the shin-plaster system, and were working it for political effect; or again to run, as they did on Friday, from the eyes and noses. The poor men had brought themselves into a most distressing dilemma. Their motions to postpone—their passionate and moving appeals—all their arguments addressed to party feeling—had failed to bring up their troops to vote a license to that petty larceny through which they hope the country may be harassed into a willingness to be broken on that great balance wheel—a national bank. Finding that their followers would fly on the third reading, they made haste to escape them, and in such hurry that they must have been dexterous in avoiding desks, chairs and benches, so as not to render actual shin plasters necessary, to heal the wounds of those who had fought the battle so stoutly for their metaphorical brethren.

But what must have been the sensations of those high-minded Senators when the alarming bill was again brought up for final action, and they, upon sober consideration, had discovered that they dare not again run away from the vote. Mr. CLAY has too much reputation, and too many eyes upon him, to venture upon so sudden a change without a pretext. He, therefore, with uncommon boldness—not to say audacity—put his resolution to a new stand in the Senate—a stand by the bill—asserted that the amendment about the mode of proof for the offense to be remedied had removed his objections to it, when the whole scope of his argument had been directed against the main provisions which now constitute the bill, and when the amendment which he now pretends reconciled him had been made, before he ran off from it. Mr. PRESTON, on his part, solemnly declared that his absconding was a mere matter of accident.

We have some little Clays and Prestons in this State who have made it their business to ridicule the policy of 'suppressing small bills, and who will doubtless attempt to overthrow it in this State. The trouble is that they are 'little' ones. If they had sagacity to foresee the consequences of their political acts, and reputation to lose thereby, they would do what Clay and Preston have done in the Senate—talk in favor of small bills and shin-plasters, but be very careful to record their votes against them—at any rate to put nothing on record in their favor. Augusta Age.

Reported for the Journal of Commerce.
IN SENATE, Saturday, Jan. 6.

Mr. Kean introduced the following resolutions, which were laid on the table, to come up in order.

Resolved, That Congress possesses no power under the constitution to abolish slavery where it exists in any of the States of this Union.

Resolved, That it is inexpedient to legislate at this time on the subject of slavery in the District of Columbia.

The consideration of Mr. Calhoun's resolutions was then resumed, and on the adoption of an amendment by Mr. Morris that gentleman has continued speaking till this hour, (2 o'clock P. M.)

P. S. The amendment proposed by Mr. Morris was rejected by a vote of thirty-two to nine. The amendment of Mr. Allen, adopted yesterday, was withdrawn, to be offered again at the close of the whole series. An amendment proposed by Mr. Bayard, was rejected by a vote of thirty-four to eight. The question then recurred on Mr. Calhoun's third resolution, which was adopted by a vote of 31 to 11.

The House of Representatives did not sit on Saturday.

CONGRESS.

In Senate, Friday, Dec. 29.—Mr. Webster appeared to-day and took his seat.

Counter Manifesto to the Resolutions of Mr. Calhoun.—Mr. Morris of Ohio, presented a series of resolutions embracing his views of the rights and obligations of the General Government and of the States, especially in reference to the abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia.

The resolutions are very long, and express opinions and sentiments directly the opposite of those contained in the resolutions offered by Mr. Calhoun. Mr. Morris moved that the resolutions be printed.

Mr. Calhoun said he was quite willing that they should be printed. He regarded them as presenting the antagonist side of the question to that set forth by him. They are, he said,

decidedly abolition doctrines.

The creed of that sect is now distinctly avowed in the Senate; and he trusted that a vote would be taken upon it.

In the House.—Petitions and memorials were presented from the different States—a large proportion of them being for the abolition of slavery, or remonstrating against the annexation of Texas or any slave holding state to the Union.

The former were laid on the table, under the rule adopted in Mr. Patton's resolution; and the latter took the same course by successive motions.

When Mr. Adams was called upon, he presented a memorial for the abolition of slavery in the declaration he had made respecting the Resolution of Mr. Patton at the time it was adopted, he held himself no otherwise bound by it than by physical force. He held all the freedom of speech that he did had the resolution never passed.

Mr. Lawler, of Ala., called Mr. Adams to order.

Mr. Adams said he made these remarks as preparatory to giving notice, that he intended an early day to offer a motion for rescinding that infamous resolution!

Left sitting.

ONJORD DEMOCRAT.

Paris, January 16, 1838.

We have received and lay before our readers the Report of the Committee of the Legislature on the votes for Governor. The report gives to Mr. Kent one hundred and ninety-three votes more than were received by all others. It appears that some votes were rejected for informality, but counting all the votes given and returned, Mr. Kent would have a majority. Without any reference therefore to the result, we must be permitted to dissent from some of the principles laid down in the Report. We acknowledge that there are difficulties in the way of a liberal or strict construction of the provisions of the Constitution. We have been and still are in favor of counting votes where the provisions of the Constitution have been substantially complied with, but all admit that there must be some bounds to this liberality. The precedent of to-day becomes the law of to-morrow, and we may thus go on until all the barriers which the Constitution has raised around the exercise of this privilege to protect it from abuse and guard it from corruption, shall have been removed, and in our desire to protect the rights of the people we may expose them to the designs of the unprincipled. A reference to the report will show the dangers to which we may be exposed under professions of liberality. If the principles there laid down are to be sanctioned—if the improprieties (to call them by no harsher name) found to exist in some of the cases there enumerated, are to be overlooked though fraud may not be legalized in the present instance, yet a door will be opened, and an opportunity offered, for practices which the Constitution has cautiously guarded against. It may be a question worthy of attentive consideration, whether it would not be better that the votes even of a whole town should be rejected, than that practices should be sanctioned which may lead to fraud and corruption. We feel and acknowledge the force of the objection, that the people ought not to be deprived of their right of suffrage, because their officers have been remiss or unfaithful—that the guilty alone should be punished. But so long as the votes are counted, the people will not trouble themselves to call their officers to account. Reject them, and then public indignation may be raised to punish the offenders.

Any of our readers who have looked into a Federal paper for some time past will have noticed that much is said there about loco-focoism, which is attested as being all that is contemptible and degrading in politics. If you examine these papers to find what this bugbear is, you will be told that it consists principally in being anti-Bank—that is in being favorable to a more extensive specie basis than we have at present, and that its most destructive feature is an opposition to the circulation of small bills. They will therefore be not a little surprised to learn that Mr. Clay one of the Federal candidates for the Presidency, has by his vote avowed himself a loco-foco according to the federal interpretation of the term. The facts are these. Mr. Benton recently introduced into the Senate of the United States a Bill for the suppression of Bank notes of a smaller denomination than five dollars in the District of Columbia, similar to the law passed in this State two years since. This was of course attacked and denounced by all the Bank presses as a miserable and contemptible affair, "one of Benton's humbugs," "the essence of loco-focoism." But when the question was taken on the final passage of that bill, by yeas and nays, Henry Clay voted for it, and so did his friends and followers. Only one voted against it. If such a law is wise and salutary there why should it be repealed here. We hope and trust that the example of Mr. Clay will not be lost upon his friends and admirers in this State, and that they will not by attempting a repeal of our law upon that subject cast an indirect censure upon the vote of Mr. Clay.

The Report of the Committee on the votes for Governor passed the House on Thursday last by a strictly party vote so far as we can judge of 96 to 81. The democratic members contended for its recommitment with instructions to the Committee to report to the House made. But the federalists said that they were satisfied with the facts, in those cases where remonstrances had been made. The federalists said that they were satisfied with the facts, in those cases where remonstrances had been made. The federalists said that they were satisfied with the facts, in those cases where remonstrances had been made.

Mr. Kent was elected by a majority of the votes returned, it might have been accepted, but when members were called upon to sanction the doctrines and conclusions of that report by voting for its acceptance, it is no wonder that they hesitated, and it is to be doubted whether the Senate will ever accept it without some modification.

The Joint Select Committee, to whom was referred the votes for Governor, given in the several cities, towns and plantations in this State, having had the same under consideration, ask leave to

REPORT: That the whole number of votes for Governor which have been legally and constitutionally returned from the several cities, towns and plantations in the State, is sixty-eight thousand five hundred and twenty-three; that the number necessary to constitute an election, is thirty-

four thousand two hundred and sixty two; that Edward Kent has thirty-four thousand three hundred and fifty-eight; that Gorham Parks has thirty-three thousand eight hundred and seventy-nine; other persons have two hundred and eighty-six; and that Edward Kent having received one hundred and ninety three votes more than all other persons voted for, is constitutionally elected Governor of the State of Maine.

The return of votes from the town of Bristol, was not certified on the inside by the town Clerk. These votes were not allowed and counted; for Edward Kent, two hundred and twenty-five; and for Gorham Parks, two hundred and twenty-eight. The returns from Dedham, Wesley, Weston, Kingsbury, Argyle Plantation, and Plantation No. 1, North Division, Hancock County, were not certified by either of the Selectmen, or town or plantation Clerks on the outside. The votes from said towns and plantations were allowed and counted, viz: for Edward Kent, one hundred and eleven, and for Gorham Parks, two hundred and three.

The returns from Hiram, Lincoln, Roxbury, Edwinstown, Battington, Plantation No. 23, Snowville, and Mattawamkeag, north of Lincoln, contained no certificate of having been sealed up in open town or plantation meeting, and it was not certified in the return from No. 23, that they were declared in open meeting. These votes were allowed and counted; for Edward Kent, one hundred and seventy-two; and for Gorham Parks, two hundred and sixty-six.

The return from Bockfield states that "five votes were given for Gorham Parks after the votes were declared and counted." These votes were allowed and counted for Gorham Parks. The return of votes from Amity was attested by the town Clerk, and two other persons, inside, without designating their official character; they were not signed or certified on the outside by any one. These votes were allowed and counted; for Edward Kent, two; for Gorham Parks, twenty. The return from Cornish was made upon a plantation blank letter, and was signed by two persons designated as "Assessors." These votes were allowed and counted; for Edward Kent, fifty-two; and for Gorham Parks, one hundred and twenty-six.

The returns from Moscow and Howland, were signed by the town Clerk and one Selectman only, on the inside; but on the outside by two Selectmen and the town Clerk. These votes were allowed and counted; for Edward Kent, eighty-two; and for Gorham Parks, fifty-nine.

The return from Calais, states that "it appears in evidence that one person voted twice." The votes of said town were allowed and counted; for Edward Kent, two hundred and nine; and for Gorham Parks, two hundred and fifty-three. The return from Grandville was not dated on the outside. These votes were allowed and counted; for Edward Kent, fourteen; and for Gorham Parks, twenty-seven.

The return from Plymouth states that one vote for Gorham Parks was received while counting; this vote was allowed and counted for Mr. Parks. The return from Howland states that "a person calling himself an inhabitant of No. 3, Eighth Range, was allowed to vote in Howland; which vote was given for Gorham Parks; this vote was allowed and counted for Mr. Parks. The return from Hallowell, on the inside, states that the meeting was held on the second Monday of September, being the 11th day of said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and —. The blank for the date of the year was omitted to be filled up, it was perfect in all other respects. On the outside is the usual certificate, signed by the Selectmen and list of the votes given in by the inhabitants of the town of Hallowell, for Governor on the second Monday of September, 1837, and was sealed up in open town meeting. On the outside was also a memorandum, in writing, that said return was received at the office of the Secretary of State, September 12, 1837. These votes were allowed and counted; for Edward Kent, six hundred and eighteen; for Gorham Parks, one hundred and fifty-one.

The return from Milford, on the outside, contains a memorandum, in writing, signed by the Secretary of State, that it "was not sealed when received at this office," but it contained the usual certificate on the outside, of the Selectmen and town Clerk, that it was sealed up in open town meeting. It does not appear to have any post mark upon it; nor is there any appearance of mutilation, or erasures in the return. These votes were rejected, they were for Edward Kent, seventy-five; for Gorham Parks, forty-one. The return from Paris states, that "one vote was given for scattering;" this vote was rejected. The return from Lincolnville states that one vote was given for Kent; this vote was rejected.

The return from Greenfield was not certified, or signed, by any one on the inside; on the outside it was in proper form; these votes were for Edward Kent, sixteen; for Gorham Parks, eighteen; and were rejected. There was no return from the town of Alvan.

Remonstrances were referred to the Committee against the reception of the votes of the towns of Leeds, Fayette, and Fairfield. It is alleged in the remonstrance from the town of Leeds, that the votes were not sealed up in open town meeting; but there is on the return the certificate prescribed by law, that the votes were sealed up in open town meeting, signed by the Selectmen and town Clerk. The Committee decided in relation to towns where the returns furnished no evidence of having been sealed up in open town meeting, that the votes should be accepted and counted; they refused in this case, to admit parol evidence, to contradict the legal certificate made by the Selectmen and town Clerk, which they had adjudged to be unnecessary, in so large a class of cases, and accepted the votes.

It was alleged in the remonstrance from the town of Fayette, that during an adjournment of the meeting for Governor, the box containing the ballots was placed in the window of the meeting house, and that the chairman observed to the selectmen who had charge of the same, not to suffer them to be handled or disturbed, but that an individual other than the selectmen and not acting under the solemnities of an oath, did take the ballot box and receive a number of votes—the committee understood this as a distinct charge of fraud in relation to the votes so alleged to have been given, and on that ground and that only, went into a full examination of all the evidence offered. It appeared in evidence that during the suspension or adjournment of the meeting for the purpose of transacting town business, the ballot box containing the votes for Governor was placed on the sill of the window of the pulpit; and was exposed to the view of the meeting generally; that during a short period, when neither of the selectmen were in the pulpit, nor any other person so than the town Clerk and Samuel Hearsey, who had gone into the pulpit to write, that an individual came to the pulpit with three young men, all legal voters in said town, and whose names were on the check list, and asked Hearsey to hand down the box and let them vote, that he took the box from the window, stepped to the side of the pulpit, and received from each of the three persons a single vote for Governor, and then replaced the box on the window sill, that they were the only votes thrown by these men on that day, and that they were received without the knowledge of the selectmen; and that no other person than the selectmen and town Clerk and Mr. Hearsey were in the pulpit during the suspension or adjournment of the meeting, and that the window stool of the pulpit was some 6 or 10 steps above the floor of the house; the committee believing that these facts did not require the disfranchisement of the electors of Fayette, accepted and counted the votes of that town, excepting the three as aforesaid.

It is alleged in the remonstrance from the town of Fairfield that the selectmen did not themselves, sort, count, and declare all the votes for Governor as required by the constitution, but permitted some of the votes to be taken by an individual to a window, beyond the supervision of the selectmen, and not in the presence of the town clerk, to sort and count them, and that the individual so taking the votes did commit and throw away said votes, and returned to the selectmen a minute only of the number of votes so counted; and that the selectmen without ever having counted said votes added the votes so counted to those counted by themselves—the committee admitted the evidence in relation to this allegation in the remonstrance for the same reason as in the remonstrance of Fayette, on the testimony in the case that after sorting the votes, the selectmen found a small number of from five to seven, of what the witness called mixed votes, being such as did not contain the names of all the candidates generally voted for Governor and senators, some of them being written votes, and one of them only having on it the name of any candidate for Governor, that being for Gorham Parks—that these mixed votes were replaced in the ballot box and handed by one of the selectmen to Samuel Burrell, who was in the same pew with the selectmen and who had been clerk of said town—that said Burrell counted and sorted said votes and put them on a list of the votes which he made, and that after the selectmen had counted and listed the other votes, he handed these votes back to one of the selectmen, that they were then examined by the selectmen and put on the lists of votes kept by them, and that the lists kept by the selectmen and the lists kept by Burrell were then compared and found to correspond.

It is further alleged in said remonstrance that a meeting was held under a moderator for the transaction of town business immediately after receiving the votes for Governor, which was first adjourned one hour, and finally adjourned to the second Saturday in October, and that after final adjournment the selectmen proceeded to sort, count and declare the votes for Governor, the committee do not perceive that these facts if true should have any influence on the legalty of the meeting for receiving the votes for Governor, and as the selectmen and town clerk had certified in their return, which is in the form prescribed by the constitution and the laws, inside and out; that the votes were sorted, counted, declared and sealed up, in open town meeting, the committee declined to admit any testimony on this part of the remonstrance, and accepted and counted the votes of Fairfield.

The constitution of the State having provided that the votes for Governor shall be received, sorted, counted, declared, recorded and sealed up in open town meeting, and returned into the Secretary's office, and laid by him before the Senate and House of Representatives, and the form of return having been established by law—the committee believed, that it might not be competent to them or the Legislature to go into any testimony to invalidate or contradict the returns or disfranchise the electors of towns whose returns were made according to the constitution and the laws, excepting in cases where fraud was suggested or illegal. Your committee were disposed distinctly to recognize this rule in relation to the returns from the towns of Leeds, Fairfield and Fayette—the evils resulting from a different course would in the opinion of your committee tend to protracting indefinitely the organization of the Government.

to having provided shall be received, recorded and filed, and returned by him to the Representatives, when established, that it might be a Legislature in the State or Contradictors of towns according to the existing in cases illegal. Your duty to recognize from the towns—the evils revealed in this to protecting the Government.

The amount which will be required to pay the bounty on wheat raised within this State the past season, must necessarily remain uncertain until full and complete returns shall have been made to the Legislature, still it is believed that the estimate will be found large enough to meet the claims upon the Treasury growing out of this war and a excellent provision of our law.

The law establishing this department, confining the duty of the Treasurer in making his Annual Report to

have already issued? You may compare the case of the banks to an individual who would be

FROM CANADA.
By the accounts from Buffalo and other
places on the frontier the arrangement is

It is two miles above Niagara Falls, half a mile from the Canadian and a mile and a half from the American shore. It com-

WANTED
IMMEDIATELY, in payment for the Democrat, a few cords of dry hard wood. Also a few cords of

County of Oxford to Job Prince Dr.
For services as County Commissioner.
1837. To one day to appoint agents to take the census in the unincorporated townships in said County, pursuant to an Act of March 23, 1837, 5.00
To travel from Turner to Paris and home, 24 miles, 3.40
May 2. To travel from Turner to Brownfield on Pet. of Daniel Bean and als. 55 miles, 5.50
To one day viewing on said Pet. 5.00
" 3. To travel from Brownfield to Hiram and back to Brownfield on Pet. of Joseph Brown and als. being a joint view with Cumberland Commissioners 10 miles, 1.50
To 3 days viewing, hearing the parties and adjudicating on said Pet. 9.00
" 4. To one day hearing the parties and adjudicating on Pet. of Daniel Bean and als. 3.00
" 5. To travel from Brownfield to Moses Hutchins in Lovell on Pet. of Wm. Lebarrow and als. 16 miles, 1.60
To three days viewing hearing the parties and adjudicating on said Pet. 9.00
" 13. To travel from said Hutchins to Bassett's Tavern in Lovell on Pet. of James Walker and als. 2 miles, 1.20
To 3 days on said Pet. 1.50
To travel home to Turner 40 miles, 4.00
1 day assessing Tax on Township No. 6, 1.50
June 12. To travel from Turner to Zury Robinson's in Sumner on Pet. of John Moulton and als. 14 ms. To three days viewing hearing the parties and locating on said Pet. 9.00
To travel from said W. Corlie's in Sumner home, 1.10
To 4 days unking plans and reports, 12.00
\$69.70

JOB PRINCE.
Oxford, ss: June 22, 1837. Personally appeared Job Prince and made oath that the foregoing account by him subscribed, is true as to time and charges, and as to distance according to his best knowledge and belief.
Before me, J. G. COLE, Clerk.

County of Oxford to Abel Gibson Dr.
For services as County Commissioner.
1837. To travel from Brownfield to Paris Court House and home, 72 miles, 7.20
" 23. To one day appointing agents to take the census in unincorporated places in said County, 2.00
May 2. To travel from my house to Zack. Miller's in Brownfield and home, twice on pet. of Daniel Bean and als., 1.00
To 2 days attendance on said pet. 6.00
" 3. To travel from Brownfield to John Kimball's in Hiram and home on pet. of Joseph Brown and als., on a joint view with Cumberland County Commissioners, 20 miles, 2.00
To 3 days attendance on said petition, 9.00
" 10. To travel from Brownfield to Moses Hutchins Jr. in Lovell on pet. of Wm. Lebarrow and others, 14 miles, 1.40
To three days attendance on said Pet. 9.00
" 13. To travel from Moses Hutchins Jr. to Joseph Bassett's in Lovell and home on Pet. of James Walker and others, 15 miles, and 1 day attendance, 3.00
June 12. To travel from Brownfield to Zury Robinson's in Sumner and home on pet. of John Moulton & others, 48 miles, 9.80
" 13 & 14. To 1-2-3 days attendance on said pet. 5.00
\$66.40

ABEL GIBSON.
Oxford, ss: June 22, 1837. Personally appeared Abel Gibson and made oath that the foregoing account by him subscribed, is true as to time and charges, and as to distance, according to his best knowledge and belief.
Before me, J. G. COLE, Clerk.

County of Oxford to John Hearsey Dr.
For services as County Commissioner.
March 29. To one day at Paris to appoint agents to take the census in unincorporated places in said County, 3.00
" 1. To travel to and from Paris 40 miles, 4.00
May 2. To travel to Courthouse and home to carry papers to Freeman Ellis Agent, 24 miles, 2.00
" 1. To travel from home to Brownfield on pet. of Daniel Bean and als. 55, 5.50
To one day viewing on said pet. 5.00
" 3. To travel from Brownfield to Hiram on pet. of Joseph Brown & als. 75 miles, 7.50
To 3 days viewing and hearing the parties jointly with the Commissioners of Cumberland Co., 9.00
To travel from Hiram to Brownfield, 75 miles, 7.50
" 6. To hearing the parties on pet. of Daniel Bean and als. and adjudicating on same one day, 4.30
" 10. To travel from Brownfield to Moses Hutchins Jr. in Lovell on pet. of Wm. Lebarrow and als. 16 miles, 1.60
To three days viewing, hearing the parties, &c. on said pet. 9.00
To travel from said Hutchins to Bassett's in Lovell, 2 miles, on pet. of James Walker, 1.20
" 13. To 3 days on said pet. 1.50
To 3 day assessing Tax on township No. 6, 1.50
June 12. To travel from home to Zury Robinson's in Sumner, on pet. of John Moulton & als. 10 ms. To three days viewing, hearing the parties and locating on said pet. 9.00
To travel from David W. Corlie's home on said pet. 12 miles, 1.20
\$69.50

JOHN HEARSEY.
Oxford, ss: June 22, 1837. Personally appeared John Hearsey and made oath that the foregoing account by him subscribed is true as to time, charges, and as to distance, according to his best knowledge and belief.
Before me, J. G. COLE, Clerk.

County of Oxford to Job Prince Dr.
For services as County Commissioner.
July 4. To travel from Turner to Denmark and home on pet. of Committee of town of Denmark, 90 ms, 9.00
To two days viewing, hearing the parties &c. 6.00
Sept. 12. To travel from Turner to Rumford on pet. of Lyman Rawson and als. 30 miles, 3.00
To two days viewing, hearing the parties, 6.00
" 15. To travel from Andover to Bethel on pet. of James Walker and als. 18 ms, 1.80
To four days hearing parties and locating, 12.00
" 23. To travel from Greenwood to Paris and back to Greenwood to adjourn, on pet. of Committee of town of Paris and 1 day, 8.10
" 26. To travel from Noble's Corner in Norway to Eleazar Dunham Jr.'s, in Paris on pet. of Committee of the town of Paris 10 miles, 1.00
To viewing and hearing the parties 31 days, 10.50
To travel from said Dunham's home 22 miles, 2.20
Oct. 8. To travel from Turner to Baldwin and back on pet. of Ephraim Flint and als. being a joint view with Cumberland Commissioners, 122 miles, 12.20
To 11 days viewing, hearing the parties and locating on said pet. 4.50
" 10. To travel from Turner to Buckfield and home on pet. of Isaac Chase and als. 16 miles, 1.60
To one day viewing, hearing the parties, 2.00
" 11. To travel from Turner to Jay on pet. of N. Crafts and als., 1.50
To one day viewing on said petition, 2.00
" 12. To travel from Jay to Rumford on pet. of Lyman Rawson and als. being an adjourned meeting, 22 miles, 2.20
To 13 days viewing and adjudicating on said pet. 4.50
" 16. To travel from Turner to S. Paris on pet. of a committee of the town of Paris, being an adjourned meeting, 2.00
To two days viewing and adjudicating on said pet. 1.50
" 18. To travel from S. Paris to David Morrill's in Sumner, on pet. of said Morrill and als. 13 miles, 1.30
To three days viewing, hearing the parties and adjudicating on said pet. 9.00
" 24. To travel from Turner to Flagstaff mills in the County of Somerset on pet. of Joshua Gailin and als. being a joint view with Somerset Commissioners, 65 miles, 6.50
To 23 days viewing, hearing the parties and locating on said pet. 10.50
To travel home 85 miles, 8.50
" one day making Reports and plans, 2.00
\$160.50

The foregoing account is true as to time and distance charged according to my best knowledge and belief.
JOHN PRINCE.
Oxford, ss: Nov. 22, 1837. Sworn to
Before me, J. G. COLE, Clerk.

County of Oxford to Abel Gibson Dr.
For services as County Commissioner.
1837. To travel from Brownfield to Samuel Gibson's in Denmark and home on pet. of Samuel Gibson & als. 16 miles, 1.60
July 6. To 2 days services on said pet. 6.00
Sept. 13. To travel from Brownfield to Jes. Hall's in Rumford on pet. of Lyman Rawson and als. 27 miles, 2.70
" 13. To 2 days attendance on said petition, and adjourned, 6.00
To travel from Andover Corner to James Walker's in Bethel 18 miles, on pet. of James Walker & als. 1.80
" 16. To 4 days attendance on said pet. 13.50
" 20. To 6 days attendance on pet. of Frederick Coburn and als., 18.00
To travel from David Noyes' in Norway to Eleazar Dunham Jr.'s, in Paris on pet. of John Porter & als. and home 46 miles—adjourned, 4.60
To travel from Brownfield to Paris Cape agreeable to adjournment 33 miles, 3.30
" 22. To 7 days attendance on said pet. 21.00
Oct. 1. To travel from Brownfield to Pichard Flint in Baldwin and home, on pet. of Ephraim Flint & others, being a joint view with Cumberland County Commissioners, 86 miles, 8.60
" 2. to 11 days attendance on said pet. 4.50
" 1. To travel from Brownfield to Brigham's tavern in Buckfield on pet. of Isaac Chase and others, 48 miles, 4.80
" 10. To 2 days attendance on said petition, 2.00
" travel from Buckfield to Jay hill on pet. of Nathan Crafts and als. 18 miles, and one day attendance on said pet. 2.00
To travel from Jay hill to Jos. Hall's in Rumford on pet. of Lyman Rawson and als., agreeable to adjournment, and from said Hills home 88 ms. 8.80
" 12. To 14 days attendance on said pet. 4.50
To travel from Paris Cape to David Morrill's in Sumner, on pet. of David Morrill and others 18 miles, 1.80
" 18. To three days attendance on said pet. 9.00
To travel from Brownfield to Flagstaff mills on the Dead River in Somerset Co. on pet. of Joshua Gailin and als., being a joint view with Somerset Co. Commissioners, 86 miles, 8.60
" 24. To 3 days attendance on said pet. 10.50
To travel from said Flagstaff home 128 miles, 12.80
\$169.10

The foregoing account is true as to time and distance charged according to my best knowledge and belief.
ABEL GIBSON.
Oxford, ss: Nov. 2, 1837. Sworn to, Before me, J. G. COLE, Clerk.

County of Oxford to John Hearsey Dr.
For services as County Commissioner.
July 5. To travel from Denmark and home on pet. of Committee of Denmark 180 miles, 18.00
Sept. 13. To travel to Joseph Hall's in Rumford on pet. of Lyman Rawson and als. 16 miles, 1.60
" 16. To travel from Andover to James Walker's in Bethel on pet. of James Walker and als., 1.80
" 20. To 4 days on pet. of James Walker and als., 12.00
" 22. To 14 days viewing, hearing the parties and locating on pet. of Fred. Coburn and als., 18.00
" 26. To travel from David Noyes' in Norway to E. Dunham's in Paris on pet. of John Porter & als., Committee of Paris, 9 miles, 9.00
To 5 days on said pet. before adjournment, 15.00
Oct. 3. To travel from said Paris to Baldwin on pet. of Ephraim Flint and als. 48 miles, 4.80
" 13. To 14 days viewing, hearing the parties and locating on same, 4.50
To travel from Hiram home on same, 66 miles, 6.60
" 10. To travel to Buckfield on pet. of Isaac Chase and als. 16 miles, 1.60
To one day on same viewing and hearing parties, 2.00
" 11. To one day on pet. of Nathan Crafts, by adjournment, 2.00
To travel from Jay home, 6 miles, .60
" 12. To travel from home to Joseph Hall's in Rumford and back, on pet. of Lyman Rawson, by adjournment, 34 miles, 3.40
" 14. To 14 days on same, 4.50
" 16. To travel from home to S. Paris on pet. of John Porter and als. 22 miles, 2.20
" 18. To 2 days on same on adjournment, 1.30
" 20. To travel from S. Paris to David Morrill's in Sumner, on pet. of David Morrill and als. 13 miles, 1.30
To travel from Jona. Bucks home on same, 16 ms., 1.60
" 22. To three days viewing and locating the parties, 9.00
" 24. To travel from home to Flagstaff on Dead River and home again on pet. of Joshua Gailin and als. 140 miles, 14.00
To 34 days on same, \$149.40

The foregoing account is true as to time and distance charged, according to my best knowledge and belief.
JOHN HEARSEY.
Oxford, ss: Nov. 3, 1837. Sworn to before me, J. G. COLE, Clerk.

Oxford, ss: County Commissioner's Court.
June Term, A. D. 1837.
Job Prince, travel 40 miles \$4, attendance 3 days \$9 13.00
Abel Gibson, " 90 " \$9 " 3 " 9 18.00
John Hearsey " 40 " \$4, " 3 " 9 13.00
October Term, A. D. 1837.
Job Prince, travel 40 miles \$4, attendance 3 days \$9 13.00
Abel Gibson, " 90 " \$9 " 3 " 9 18.00
John Hearsey, " 40 " \$4, " 3 " 9 13.00

The foregoing accounts were severally examined, audited and certified by the County Attorney and Clerk agreeably to the Statute, and are truly copied by
J. G. COLE, Clerk.

CLERK'S OFFICE.
Co. of Oxford, Dec. 26, 1847.

COLLECTOR'S NOTICE.—WALD.
NOTICE is hereby given to the next resident owners of land and proprietors of land in the town of Wald, County of Oxford, and State of Maine, that the same are taxed in the bills committed to the undersigned, Collector of the town of Wald, for the year 1836, the respective sums, viz:

Names unknown.	No. of Loc.	No. of Ranges.	No. of Acres.	Value.	Tax.
	18	1	188	33	56
	18	2	189	33	56
	18	3	190	33	56
	18	4	191	16	2
	18	5	192	22	3
	18	6	193	39	7
	15	3	160	44	4
	15	5	160	44	7
	15	6	160	88	15
	15	9	160	44	7
	15	6	160	44	7
	16	9	160	44	7
	17	6	160	44	13
	17	6	160	33	5
	17	7	160	44	7
	18	10	197	16	2
	18	11	198	11	1
	19	12	225	11	1
	16	10	160	56	9
	16	11	160	44	7
	16	12	180	11	1
	17	3	160	77	13
	1	12	132	50	8
	3	3	144	52	9
	14	3	80	26	4
	18	9	196	44	7
	16	8	160	54	7
	9	1	100	44	7
	17	9	160	11	1
	17	9	160	16	2
	16	4	160	20	3
	10	7	190	6	1
	17	10	160	11	1
	17	5	160	9	1
	17	5	160	9	1